TAFT COLLEGE SENATE COUNCIL
AGENDA
WEDNESDAY, MARCH 17, 2021
12:10PM TO 1:00PM

https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/95316737125?pwd=Q2x1a3k1dTljazlWQk5RR
EZCVD32z09

Roll call voting is required in meetings conducted through Zoom. Zoom etiquette for this meeting: Raise hand and wait for acknowledgement to avoid talking over others. State your name when making motions and seconds as those speaking may not be seen on all attendees’ monitors. Remember this is a public forum meeting under the Brown Act.

In attendance:
Abbott, A.
Altenhofel, J
Bandy, K.
Clemons, R.
Devine, B
Dyer, G
Eveland, S.
Kulzer-Reyes, K
Travis, L.

Members absent:
Golling, G.
Jacobi, V.
Jiles, M.

Call to Order 12:10 pm
Public Commentary
Approval of the Minutes
1. Approval February 17, 2020 Minutes (3 minutes) ACTION
Motion to accept with edits as added in the meeting. Abbott
Second: Eveland
Votes in favor:
Abbott, A.
Altenhofel, J
Dyer, G
Eveland, S.
Kulzer-Reyes, K
Travis, L.
Abstentions:
Bandy, K.
Clemons, R.

Motion passes.

Informational Items:
2. Welcome Dr. Reginald Clemons – Adjunct Representative

DISCUSSION
Welcome!

3. ASCCC 2021 Spring Plenary – Virtual, April 15-17

DISCUSSION
I cut out. No minutes available. If you would like to attend this plenary, please contact Sharyn.

Updates:
4. NONE
Please send Sharyn an email if you would like to add or request an update here.

Work Session:
5. AP 7211 FAS, Min Quals, and Equivalencies Revision

WORK SESSION
What is the progress on revisions to AP 7211? How do we get this done? What must be included (e.g. ad hoc (?) committee to determine equivalency standards)?

Altenhofel is compiling the documents received from work group. She still does not have a copy of the AP 7211. Eveland believes she shared the AP in an email after the February 2021 meeting. This project will be shared with the Academic Senate of the Whole.
Eveland thinks it would be helpful to update prior to the end of this academic year. The current AP is at odds with a related AP. There are three sections on this. The workgroup was looking at the equivalencies piece. Would the statewide League document be appropriate for TC adoption?

Question: Dyer asked about the timeline. Abbott suggested using the League’s document with edits might suffice. Dyer mentioned that there are missing pieces that do not address the inconsistencies at Taft College unless we add two lines to the document.

Reading the 2019 template, Dyer doesn’t see the concern addressed within the League language.
Each hiring committee looks at this policy.
Documenting the determinations and updates to the equivalencies needs to be added to the AP.
The League template is similar to our current AP. If we agree on the criteria and what master’s
level content knowledge and eminence looks like, we can add that language to our current policy.

When we put 7211 together, we did not want to be pulling together committee as there is often hiring in the summer. However, having an equivalency committee may now be appropriate according to Eveland.
Dyer shared his observations on the current AP, about the challenges in the in adjunct hires, more so than with full-time tenure track hires. AP 7120
When we are looking at equivalencies, the discipline area experts are the best at determining equivalency.
The concern is a lack of documented process.

The AP is what is reviewed. The academic senate president signs off on the procedure. The evidence may be present, but the way it has been implemented may not be consistent over time.
7120 and 7211 need to be aligned, but that is a language and editing issue. It’s not as Discipline area faculty – welding
Abbott: ASCCC – separate minimum qualification can be included to separate the disciplines – Someone could be a coach or a coach and kinesiology professor, depending on their qualifications.
Devine shared the 2016 equivalencies document.

Old Business: Assignment of Responsibility for Development and/or Recommendation to Senate of the Whole for Action

6. AP 7211 – Faculty Service Areas, Minimum Qualifications, and Equivalencies
ACTION Requesting recommendations to direct, support, and/or guide progress on revisions to AP 7211, IF the work session results in decision(s) requiring action by the Academic Senate Council.

7. Area for Improvement - Supporting Academic Senate Standing Committees
DISCUSSION
What do chairs and committee members need?
Known challenge areas - Brown Act compliance, record keeping such as minutes of meetings, creating/posting agendas and minutes.

8. Supporting Academic Senate Standing Committees
ACTION Requesting actions on recommendations in support of meeting Academic Senate Standing Committee work responsibilities, IF the discussion results in decision(s) requiring action by the Academic Senate Council.

New Business: Assignment of Responsibility for Development and/or Recommendation to Senate of the Whole

9. NONE
DISCUSSION
Other Announcements

10. Local Senate Visit on Anti-Racism Education – April 5th, 2021 12 to 1pm

DISCUSSION

Adjournment 1:02 pm
Motion: Abbott
Second: Devine
Adjourned by unanimous consent

Respectfully submitted:
Kelly Kulzer-Reyes