Members Present: Brock McMurray, Bill Devine, Sharyn Eveland, Geoffrey Dyer, Jessica Grimes, Brandy Young, Whisper-Lynn Null

Members Absent: Amanda Bauer and Sheri Horn-Bunk

Guests: Justin Madding, Recorder

The Budget Committee meeting of February 8, 2019, was called to order by Brock McMurray at 8:10 a.m.

1. Minutes

The minutes from January 25, 2019, were reviewed and approved by consensus.

2. 19/20 Budget Development Calendar

McMurray reviewed the February Budget Development Calendar deadlines with the committee. We are a little behind schedule as to revenue assumptions and APR funding sources, but we can make up time later in the month and early March.

3. Budget Update

McMurray said that there is not much of an update since last meeting that is not covered in the SCFF training later in this meeting.

Devine asked if McMurray has any impression as to whether it is good or bad for us that the governor is proposing to delay full implementation of SCFF at 60/20/20. McMurray said that it may be good for us, but not in a significant amount. It is good because we are funded at a higher rate for FTES, but if we can improve our other metrics then it can balance out.

4. Budget Committee Goal: Integrated Study of the Student Centered Funding Formula

McMurray provided a handout titled “Student Centered Funding Formula”. One of our Budget Committee goals for this year was to learn more about the new funding formula. This one page spreadsheet is an attempt to simplify things and facilitate discussion. McMurray also referred to his budget workshop binder to explain advanced apportionment estimates and how it is used in budget development.

Referring to the handout, McMurray explained Total Compensational Revenue and how that number is slightly different than the number we used to develop the budget. He explained what a deficit coefficient is and how it is used to make up the different between the budgeted revenues and Total Compensational Revenue. We have not received confirmation that we will receive a deficit coefficient.

McMurray explained the Base Allocation section of the handout. Basic Allocation is calculated based on our size, location, and FTES. The total Base Allocation is comprised of Basic Allocation, Credit FTES, and Non-Credit FTES. McMurray referred the committee to the box outlining 3 year average FTES calculation. He explained how the 3 year average calculation hurts us and any other college that is
growing. If you are growing, then you have to consistently serve more students with less resources. Growing colleges are lobbying for the option of the 3 year average or prior year FTES. That way growing colleges are not penalized by the calculation.

Young asked when we report on our FTES. McMurray said that the reporting is done through the 320 report.

The committee discussed CDCP and what types of courses would qualify.

McMurray explained the Supplemental Allocation portion of SCFF. The Supplemental Allocation is based on need, which includes PELL, Nonresident fee waiver, and California Promise Grant recipients, and is 20% of new formula. He clarified that it is not necessarily 20% of our allocation, but is 20% of the funds allocated by the State for SCFF.

McMurray explained the Student Success Allocation, which is currently 10% of SCFF. He covered each of the metrics and answered questions about what is included in the metrics and the value placed on each one. Those success metrics are valued higher if they are achieved by a student of need. The committee discussed the importance of credit certificates, which are Chancellor’s Office approved certificates only, and how auto awarding can increase that particular metric.

5. Other

None.

Meeting adjourned at 9:30 a.m.

Respectfully submitted by:

____________________
Justin Madding